## Introduction

This document has been produced by the design team of RobertsonISherwoodIArchitects PC (RSA), RDG Architecture PC (RDG), Poticha Architects (PA), and their consultants to describe the completed Schematic Design (SD) for the University of Oregon Student Recreation Center (SRC) Expansion and Renovation Project (the project). It summarizes the project goals, program and the design process. Included are budget information and cost analyses, preliminary code analysis, outline specifications and a set of drawings illustrating the schematic design plans, elevations and sections.

## Design Objectives

The project vision for the SRC Expansion and Renovation is to create a facility that meets current needs and plans for the future needs of student recreation and academic programs as the University's enrollment continues to grow. An expanded, enhanced and renovated SRC will be a popular campus venue that celebrates recreational, social, and intellectual activities. It will be a substantial contribution to the development of the campus and contribute positively to the student experience and overall residential quality of campus. The added capacity and features will also make the SRC attractive to the broader UO community, which (in addition to students) includes faculty, staff, alumni, and their children.

Ultimately the intent of the new construction is to create a durable, attractive, well daylit and energy efficient structure that will serve the campus community's recreation needs for many years to come. The facility will be designed to exceed State Energy Efficient Design (SEED) standards, targeting the UO Model of Sustainable Development of $35 \%$ more energy efficient than Oregon Energy Code requirements, and a goal of meeting the Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) Gold standard.



## Background

The existing SRC is located on 15th Avenue on the University of Oregon Campus. It was constructed 1999 as an addition to Esslinger Hall and Leighton Pool. Esslinger Hall was opened in 1937 as the Physical Education Building to serve 3,000 students and Leighton Pool was added between the years 1958-1959. Over time, both of these buildings have been renovated with the last major renovation occurring as part of the 1999 SRC addition when about 79,000 sf of existing area was renovated and $49,000 \mathrm{sf}$ of additional recreation space was added. At the time of its completion, the SRC was meant to serve a student population of about 16,000 . However, the 1999 project had to delete planned aquatics due to scope reduction. The current UO student population is about 24,000 students and is likely to continue to grow.


Since the completion of the 1999 SRC, there have been a number of studies funded by the UO to help plan for current and future growth. These studies were used by the UO to determine the initial scope and budget for the project. This scope and budget have been refined during the Schematic Design phase.

The studies are available through the UO planning office and include:

- Student Recreation Center, Conceptual Study, June 30, 2004 by Yost Grube Hall Architecture
- Master Plan and Campus Consultation Process, Erb Memorial Union and Student Recreation Center, December 2010 by Brailsford \& Dunlavey
- Esslinger Hall Concept Study, March 2011 by Yost Grube Hall Architecture
- University Street Feasibility Study, April 2012 by Rowell Brokaw Architects



## Funding

The project budget was initially established at $\$ 61$ million based on the Master Plan and Campus Consultation Process report by Brailsford \& Dunlavey (2010). Funding for this type of project is a partnership among the students, the University, and the State of Oregon, including student fees, gifts/fundraising, and state bonds.

In early 2011, legislative decisions limited the type of bond funding potentially available to the project at this time. As a result, the project budget was revised down to $\$ 50$ million dollars in advance of commissioning the Schematic Design work. $\$ 35.5$ million of this amount is the direct construction budget, with the remainder set aside for related project costs such as furniture and equipment, design and engineering fees, permitting costs, UO facilities costs and contingencies.

As noted, the project will be funded mostly by fees paid by UO students. In early April of 2012, a proposed student fee increase for the project was submitted for a student referendum with a successful result. In addition to student approval, the Oregon University System (OUS) Board needs to approve the project fee and eventually the State Legislature will need to approve the funding structure to authorize the sale of bonds. It may be possible to advance the design work while
these funding mechanisms are approved, but until authorization to proceed is granted the project will be put on hold upon the completion of the Schematic Design phase.

## Construction Manager/General Contractor

Howard S. Wright (HSW) Contractors was selected through a competitive process to be the Construction Manager/General Contractor (CM/GC) for the Project. They have played an essential role as cost estimator during the SD Phase and will continue through the later design phases as both cost estimator and constructability advisor. They will manage the bidding of the work and act as the general contractor during the construction and post-construction phases.

## Design Process

The Design Team began work in October, 2011 and has completed the Schematic Design process culminating in this report in May, 2012. The design process began with a review of Campus-wide and project specific Patterns with the Project User Group (PUG) and the establishment of project specific goals pertaining to the scope of funded improvements.

The information included in the Master Plan and Campus Consultation Process report (2010) by Brailsford \& Dunlavey was utilized in determining the initial program requirements for the Project.

Early on the PUG established four main project priorities:

- Expand aquatics
- Expand weights and fitness
- Expand gym court sports
- Improve wayfinding


A program, including spatial diagrams, was developed to establish a list of required spaces along with their size, key components and adjacencies. This program established the total proposed area of new construction and also listed non-funded but desired spaces, including accommodations for Healthy Oregon Programs spaces and selective renovation work in Esslinger. This program was reviewed and refined through a series of focus group meetings and PUG workshops and eventually formed the basis for a series of initial design options. A copy of the full program and spatial diagrams is included in Volume 2 of this report.

As the design work progressed, an Integrated Design process was utilized, bringing together design and consultant team members, UO planning and facilities maintenance personnel, and the general contractor to explore structural, mechanical, electrical and lighting systems strategies and their potential impact on the design. A meeting with City of Eugene (COE) was held to review potential code issues. Cost estimates were developed to inform the evolving design. In all there were seven PUG workshops that explored the evolving design and affirmed the direction for the development and the approval of the final Schematic Design. At various stages, the design was presented to the SRC staff and to the SRC Advisory Board. A Student Steering Committee established by the Associated Students of the University of Oregon (ASUO) was invited to participate in workshops 4 through 7. The Campus Planning Committee (CPC) met three times to review design progress and on April 30, 2012 recommended to the University President the Schematic Design for approval.


## Program

The primary programmatic elements that will be added by the new construction are:

- Twelve lane lap pool with ramped entry - to support fitness, water polo and diving
- Leisure pool - with ramped entry, seating, lap lanes and water volleyball and basketball
- Spa - sized to accommodate 12-16 persons
- Aquatics classroom - adjacent to pool
- Three court gymnasium - for basketball, volleyball and other uses
- Weights and fitness area (approx. 13,000 sf) - doubles existing weights and fitness area
- Group exercise room
- Locker rooms - wet locker room at pool level and dry locker room above
- Laundry facility - relocated from Gerlinger Annex
- Offices, toilet and custodial rooms, storage and mechanical rooms to support above spaces
- Improve circulation and expand social spaces
- Minor renovations and improvements to existing Esslinger Hall including: new laundry facility, renovated office spaces, expanded bike studio, and conversion of weight room \#50 for use as a fitness room

A complete program summary is included on pages 18-21 of the Executive Summary.

## Budget / Cost Estimating

The CM/GC, along with an independent cost estimator, completed reconciled cost estimates in March 2012. These estimates indicate a project that is about $\$ 4.9$ million over budget. Refer to Howard S. Wright and Architectural Cost Consultants' cost estimate summary sheets on pages 22-25 of the Executive Summary. Since the completion of these estimates, the design team developed a list of cost saving measures totaling over $\$ 5$ million that were reviewed and accepted by the SRC project management team and the PUG. The project described within this document has incorporated these cost saving measures and can be constructed for the $\$ 35.5$ million direct construction budget. More information regarding the cost estimating process along with the cost saving measures are included in the Schematic Design: Construction Cost Estimate section later in this report.


## Executive Summary

## University of Oregon, Student Recreation Center

## Schedule

The initial project schedule indicated the completion of the design and construction document phases of the work early in 2013 and on-site construction starting in early July 2013 that supported the student fee increase to fund the project. This schedule was based on a successful student vote in November 2011. The vote failed and the project design has been delayed by the process to obtain student support and OUS Board approval. If the remaining phases of the design proceed by early July 2012, the initial targeted construction start early July 2013 may be met. The length of construction period is assumed to be about 18 months. A more definitive design and construction schedule will be developed once a resumption date of the project is known. A conceptual project schedule showing the phases of work with a variety of project restart dates including the most optimistic restart date of July 2012 is included on page 26 of the Executive Summary.

If the project's temporary hold extends beyond early July, the design and construction schedules would move accordingly. Depending on the length of delay there may be issues to address as the project restarts. Upon notification by the University to proceed the following steps should be taken:

## Project Restart Steps:

- Notify Design Team and re-establish management and PUG groups
- Confirm budget with consideration of possible inflation and restart costs
- Affirm program and design direction with PUG
- Review code assumptions against new code requirements (if any)
- Start Design Development phase of work




## Schematic Design Drawings

The new three-story construction will occupy the area between Esslinger Hall and the playing fields to the east and between the south edge of the existing 1999 Student Recreation center and the enclosed Tennis Center. The outdoor existing covered tennis courts in this area will be removed.

A complete set of schematic design drawings, along with a general description of these documents, are included in the Schematic Design: Drawings section later in this document. The following are reduced copies of the existing site plan showing the project area, the three floor level plans, building sections and elevations.
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|  | Description | Relevant | Info | Replace? | Q | NSF | Extension | Mk | Area |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | Office Coordinator |  |  |  | 1 | 120 | 120 | R | R |  |
| A | Assistant Dir Membership Services |  |  |  | 1 | 120 | 120 | R | R |  |
| A | Business Assistant |  |  |  | 1 | 120 | 120 | R | R |  |
| A | IM Coordinator |  |  |  | 1 | 120 | 120 | R | R |  |
| A | Assist Dir IM |  |  |  | 1 | 120 | 120 | R | R |  |
| A | Fitness Coordinator |  |  |  | 1 | 120 | 120 | R | R |  |
| A | Assist Dir Fitness |  |  |  | 1 | 120 | 120 | R | R |  |
| A | Conference Room | 10 | Persons |  | 1 | 300 | 300 | R | R |  |
| A | The Hub |  |  |  | 1 | 600 | 600 | R | R |  |
| Un-Funded - Desired spaces. No funding. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Steam Room (on Pool Deck) |  |  |  | 1 | 200 | 200 | U | U |  |
|  | Improved Building Entry (Future) |  |  |  | 1 | 1,000 | 1,000 | U | U |  |
|  | Juice Bar |  |  |  | 1 | 900 | 900 | U | U |  |
| A | Pro Shop (Retail) |  |  | 24 Ess | 1 | 200 | 200 | U | U |  |
|  | Social \& Informal Study Areas |  |  |  | 3 | 400 | 1,200 | U | U |  |
|  | Tennis Center Expansion | 6 | Exist Courts | Tennis | 2 | 6,240 | 12,480 | U | U |  |
|  | Group Exercise | 100 | Persons | 270 Ger | 1 | 6,000 | 6,000 | U | U |  |
|  | Group Ex- Storage |  |  | 270 Ger | 1 | 600 | 600 | U | U |  |
|  | Climbing Wall Addition (Wall \& Boulder) | 3,100 | SF Wall |  | 1 | 1,900 | 1,900 | U | U |  |
|  | Climbing Wall Addition - Storage |  |  |  | 1 | 200 | 200 | U | U |  |
|  | New Bonus Room |  |  |  | 1 | 4,000 | 4,000 | U | U |  |
|  | New Bonus Room - Storage |  |  |  | 1 | 400 | 400 | U | U |  |
|  | Exterior / Grounds Services Room |  |  |  | 1 | 750 | 750 | U | U |  |
|  | Classroom | 36 | Persons |  | 1 | 900 | 900 | U | U |  |
|  | Classroom - Storage |  |  |  | 1 | 100 | 100 | U | U |  |
|  | Conference Room | 10 | Persons |  | 1 | 300 | 300 | U | U |  |
|  | Conference Room | 12 | Persons |  | 1 | 360 | 360 | U | U |  |
|  | Running Track Extension |  |  |  | 1 | 3,500 | 3,500 | U | U |  |
|  | Crew Club Storage |  |  | Mac Court | 1 | 120 | 120 | U | U |  |


| A Denotes Administration Space (above) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sub Totals |  | 172,994 |  |  | 78,304 |
| Net to Gross Ratio | 70\% | 74,140 |  |  | 33,559 |
| Gross Area / Bldg Const Cost Subtotals |  | 247,134 |  |  | 111,863 |
| Bldg Cost / GSF |  |  |  |  |  |
| Additional Const Cost Burdens | Relevant Info | Qty | Mk |  |  |
| Demolition Cost @ Leighton Pool | Assumes \$10 / SF. Not required if used as Cistern | 1 | B | B | 1 |
| Wayfinding Improvements | Best Guesstimate | 1 | B | B | 1 |
| Esslinger Renovation Allocation | Plug Number | 1 | B | B | 1 |
| Cistern Allocation | Best Guesstimate | 1 | B | B | 1 |
| Demolition Costs @ East Bump on Esslinger | Assumes \$10 / SF | 1 | R | R | - |
| Placeholder for things unknown! |  | 1 | R | R | - |
| Placeholder for things unknown! |  | 1 | U | U | - |
| Subtotal - Additional Cost Burdens |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction Cost Totals |  |  |  |  | 111,863 |
| Bldg Cost / GSF |  |  |  |  |  |


| Site Construction Items |  | Qty | Mk |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| General Site Costs |  | 30,000 | B | B |
| Replace Outdoor Basketball | Courts | 14,400 | B | B |
| Burden for Roof Top Court(s) | 1 | 12,000 | B | B |
| Repair Fountain at Existing Front Door |  | 1 | B | B |
| Replace Synthetic Turf Field No. 2 | 1 | B | B |  |
| Parking Replacement | 27 | B | B |  |
| General Site Costs (Replacement) |  | 10,000 | R | R |
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## Direct Construction Summary

| Project: | UO Student Rec Center | Estimate No.: |  | 2.3 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location: | Eugene, OR |  | Date: |  | Mar-2012 |
| Owner: | UO |  | Estimator: |  | DRP |
| Architect: | RSA/RDG |  |  |  |  |
| Description |  |  |  |  | Total |
| New Addition |  |  |  | \$ | 37,982,470 |
| Leighton Pool Renovation (Fitness/Climbing Wall) |  |  |  | \$ | 1,578,983 |
| Miscellaneous Renovations (Locker Room Area) |  |  |  | \$ | 453,120 |
| Replace Existing Parking Spaces |  | \$ | 5,000.00 | \$ | 210,000 |
| Site Work at 15th Street |  |  |  | \$ | 103,988 |
| Project Total |  |  |  | \$ | 40,328,561 |


| Alternates |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Escalation from mid 2013 to mid 2014 | $3.00 \%$ | $\$$ |
| Replace Existing Fire Alarm |  | $\mathbf{1 , 2 0 9 , 8 5 7}$ |
| Wayfinding Improvements | deleted from project | $\$$ |
| Miscellaneous Esslinger Renovations | deleted from project | $\$$ |
| Repair Fountain at Existing Front Door | deleted from project | $\$$ |
| Replace Existing Field Turf | deleted from project | $\$$ |

## New Construction - Recap

| Project: | UO Studen |  |  | Estimate |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location: | Eugene, OR |  |  |  |  |  | Mar-2012 |
| Owner: | Uo |  |  | Estimat |  |  | DRP |
| Architect: | RSA/RDG |  |  |  |  |  | ,589 |
| System |  |  |  | nit Cost |  |  | Total |
| General Rear | quirements |  | \$ | 12.98 | \$ |  | 1,526,280 |
| Sitework |  |  | \$ | 12.24 | \$ |  | 1,439,290 |
| Foundation |  |  | \$ | 9.58 | \$ |  | 1,126,715 |
| Substructu |  |  | \$ | 6.44 | \$ |  | 757,567 |
| Superstruc |  |  | \$ | 31.12 | \$ |  | 3,659,081 |
| Exterior Cl | sure |  | \$ | 37.03 | \$ |  | 4,354,044 |
| Roofing |  |  | \$ | 15.49 | \$ |  | 1,822,026 |
| Interior Co | struction |  | \$ | 46.27 | \$ |  | 5,441,245 |
| Special Co | struction (Pools) |  | \$ | 28.55 | \$ |  | 3,357,434 |
| Conveying | Systems |  | \$ | 6.83 | \$ |  | 803,659 |
| Mechanica |  |  | \$ | 37.07 | \$ |  | 4,359,002 |
| Electrical |  |  | \$ | 25.13 | \$ |  | 2,955,332 |
| Subtotal |  |  | \$ | 268.75 | \$ |  | 31,601,676 |
| Estimating | Contingency | 5.00\% | \$ | 13.44 | \$ |  | 1,580,084 |
| Contractor | Contingency | 2.50\% | \$ | 6.72 | \$ |  | 790,042 |
| Subtotal |  |  | \$ | 288.90 | \$ |  | 33,971,802 |
| Escalation | July 2013 | 2.50\% | \$ | 7.22 | \$ |  | 849,295 |
| General Co | ditions | 6.00\% | \$ | 17.77 | \$ |  | 2,089,266 |
| GM/GC Fee |  | 1.95\% | \$ | 6.12 | \$ |  | 719,752 |
| Builder's R | Insurance | 0.25\% | \$ | 0.80 | \$ |  | 94,075 |
| P\&P Bond |  | 0.68\% | \$ | 2.20 | \$ |  | 258,281 |
| Total - New Construction |  |  | \$ | 323.01 | \$ |  | 37,982,470 |

## Area Recap

| Lower Level | 45,626 |
| :--- | ---: |
| sf |  |
| Main Level | $34,328 \mathrm{sf}$ |
| Upper Level | 37,635 |
| $\mathbf{~ s f}$ |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1 7 , 5 8 9} \mathbf{~ s f}$ |


| Student Recreation Center | Architectura/ Cost Consultants, LLC | Estimate Date: | Rev 3/28/12 |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| University of Oregon | James A. Jerde, AIA - Stanley J. Pszczolkowski, AIA | Document Date: | $27-\mathrm{Feb}$-12 |
| Eugene, Oregon | 8060 SW Pfaffle Street, Suite 110 | Print Date: | $28-M a r-12$ |
| Robertson Sherwood / RDG Planning \& Design | Tigard, Oregon 97223-8489 | Print Time: | $4: 20$ PM |
| Schematic Design Probable Cost Estimate - REVISION \#4 | Phone (503) 718-0075 Fax (503) 718-0077 www.archcost.com | Constr. Start: | $01-$ Jul-13 |

## DIRECT CONSTRUCTION COST SUMMARY



The above estimates are for direct construction cost only. They do not include furnishings \& equipment, architect and engineer design fees, consultant fees, inspection and testing fees, plan check fees, state sales tax, hazardous material testing and removal, financing costs, nor any other normally associated development costs.

The above estimates assume a competitive subcontractor bids, with at least three qualified bidders in each of the major sub-trades.

The above estimates assume a construction start date of: July 2013. If the start of construction is delayed beyond the date above, the estimates must be indexed at a rate of 3 to $4 \%$ per year compounded.

This is a probable cost estimate based on in-progress documentation provided by the architect. The actual bid documents will vary from this estimate due to document completion, detailing, specification, addendum, etc. The estimator has no control over the cost or availability of labor, equipment, materials, over market conditions or contractor's method of pricing, contractor's construction logistics and scheduling. This estimate is formulated on the estimator's professional judgment and experience. The estimate makes no warranty, expressed or implied, that the quantities, bids or the negotiated cost of the work will not vary from the estimator's opinion of probable construction cost.

The cost / unit costs include 1\% sub guard bond cost, layout and dust \& barrier protection.
Structural steel quantities adjusted per architect and engineer input. ACC dose not necessarily agree with these modifications, but this estimate reflects the directive given by the design team.

The exterior enclosure quantities are quantities provided by the design team.


| Area - SF |  | 117,525 |  | 17,200 |  | 6,800 | 141,525 | sf |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 001 GENERAL REQUIREMENTS | 13.13 | \$1,542,972 | 1.97 | \$33,875 | 1.97 | \$13,393 | 1,590,240 |  |
| A10 F FOUNDATIONS | 8.75 | 1,028,499 | 0.56 | 9,634 | 0.00 | 0 | 1,038,133 |  |
| A20\|BASEMENT CONSTRUCTION | 6.45 | 757,557 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 757,557 |  |
| B10 \| SUPERSTRUCTURE | 30.91 | 3,632,746 | 7.38 | 126,921 | 0.00 | 0 | 3,759,667 |  |
| B20 \| EXTERIOR CLOSURE | 37.31 | 4,384,543 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 4,384,543 |  |
| B30\| ROOFING | 14.82 | 1,741,592 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 1,741,592 |  |
| C10 \| INTERIOR CONSTRUCTION | 20.54 | 2,413,934 | 10.97 | 188,628 | 11.82 | 80,358 | 2,682,920 |  |
| C20 ISTAIRS | 5.27 | 619,425 | 3.17 | 54,464 | 0.00 | 0 | 673,889 |  |
| C30 \| INTERIOR FINISHES | 21.84 | 2,566,171 | 21.57 | 370,993 | 16.81 | 114,293 | 3,051,457 |  |
| D10 I CONVEYING SYSTEMS | 1.19 | 140,036 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 140,036 |  |
| D20\|PLUMBING SYSTEMS | 0.00 | w/D30 hvac | 0.68 | 11,685 | 0.43 | 2,899 | 14,584 |  |
| D30 \| HVAC SYSTEMS | 34.24 | 4,023,658 | 11.16 | 191,916 | 7.85 | 53,403 | 4,268,977 |  |
| D40 \|FIRE PROTECTION SYSTEMS | 2.67 | 313,584 | 1.52 | 26,058 | 1.52 | 10,302 | 349,944 |  |
| D50\|ELECTRICAL SYSTEMS | 25.25 | 2,967,068 | 9.58 | 164,818 | 12.84 | 87,282 | 3,219,168 |  |
| E10 \|EQUIPMENT | 2.07 | 243,614 | 0.65 | 11,110 | 0.00 | 0 | 254,724 |  |
| E20 \| FURNISHINGS | 2.16 | 253,550 | 0.29 | 5,050 | 0.00 | 0 | 258,600 |  |
| F10 \| SPECIAL CONSTRUCTION | 28.28 | 3,323,311 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 3,323,311 |  |
| F20 \| SELECTIVE DEMOLITION | 2.14 | 251,219 | 7.13 | 122,679 | 8.36 | 56,880 | 430,778 |  |
| G10 \| SITE PREPARATION | 3.03 | 355,622 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 355,622 |  |
| G20 \| SITE IMPROVEMENTS | 5.21 | 612,532 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 612,532 |  |
| G30 \| SITE MECHANICAL UTILITIES | 2.66 | 312,426 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 312,426 |  |
| G40 \| SITE ELECTRICAL UTILITIES | 0.00 | w/ D50 Elect | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 |  |
| G90\| OTHER SITE CONSTRUCTION | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 |  |
| SUB-TOTAL | 267.89 | \$31,484,059 | 76.62 | \$1,317,831 | 61.59 | \$418,810 | \$33,220,700 |  |
| Estimating Contingency | 13.39 | 1,574,203 | 3.83 | 65,892 | 3.08 | 20,941 | 1,661,035 |  |
| Index To Construction Start | 7.03 | 826,457 | 2.01 | 34,593 | 1.62 | 10,994 | 872,043 |  |
| General Conditions | 17.87 | 2,100,000 | 5.11 | 87,900 | 4.11 | 27,935 | 2,215,835 |  |
| Builders Risk Insurance | 0.77 | 89,962 | 0.22 | 3,766 | 0.18 | 1,197 | 94,924 |  |
| Subcontractor Bond | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 |  |
| CMGC Bond | 2.09 | 245,308 | 0.60 | 10,268 | 0.48 | 3,263 | 258,839 |  |
| Contractors Contingency | 0.00 | 908,000 | 2.21 | 38,006 | 1.78 | 12,078 | 958,084 |  |
| CMGC Fee $\quad 1.95 \%$ | 6.18 | 725,946 | 1.77 | 30,386 | 1.42 | 9,657 | 765,988 |  |
| TOTAL DIRECT CONSTRUCTION COST | 315.22 | \$37,953,934 | 92.36 | \$1,588,641 | 74.25 | \$504,874 | \$40,047,449 |  |

